metal-organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications ISSN 0108-2701

Distinct chiral units from an axially prochiral ligand in a photoluminescent zinc(II)-organic complex

Ming-Xing Zhang,^a Xin Chen,^a Kun-Lin Huang,^a* Yi Zhu^b and Shan-Shan Yang^b

^aCollege of Chemistry, Chongqing Normal University, Chongqing 400047, People's Republic of China, and ^bCollege of Life Science, Chongqing Normal University, Chongqing 400047, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: kunlin@ilu.edu.cn

Received 10 October 2011 Accepted 10 February 2012 Online 3 March 2012

The asymmetric unit of the title compound, poly[(dimethylamine- κN)[μ_3 -(E)-2,6-dimethyl-4-styrylpyridine-3,5-dicarboxylato- $\kappa^3 O^3: O^3: O^5$ [zinc(II)], [Zn(C₁₇H₁₃NO₄)(C₂H₇N)]_n, consists of one crystallographically independent distorted tetrahedral Zn^{II} cation, one (*E*)-2,6-dimethyl-4-styrylpyridine-3,5-dicarboxylate (mspda²⁻) ligand and one coordinated dimethylamine molecule. Two S- and R-type chiral units are generated from the axially prochiral $mspda^{2-}$ ligand through $C-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds. The *R*-type chiral units assemble a left-handed (M) Zn-mspda helical chain, while the righthanded (P) Zn-mspda helical chain is constructed from neighbouring S-type chiral units. The P- and M-type helical chains are interlinked by carboxylate O atoms to form a onedimensional ladder. Interchain N-H···O hydrogen bonds extend these one-dimensional ladders into a two-dimensional supramolecular architecture. The title compound exhibits luminescence at $\lambda_{max} = 432$ nm upon excitation at 365 nm.

Comment

The construction of metal–organic coordination polymers has attracted intense attention, owing to their intriguing network topologies and useful properties (gas storage, catalysis, photosensitivity, molecular recognition *etc.*), which are intimately related to their structures (Yaghi *et al.*, 2003; O'Keeffe *et al.*, 2008; Ockwig *et al.*, 2005). Helices and atropisomeric units in metal complexes are two of the main aspects in the study of structural isomerism and, compared with the former, the latter has not been well explored (Kesanli & Lin, 2003; Han & Hong, 2005). For the construction of metal complexes, besides coordination bonds, secondary interactions such as hydrogen bonding and π – π stacking must also be exploited.

Compared with common pyridinedicarboxylic acids (PDAs), highly substituted PDAs have not been effectively utilized in the construction of supramolecular polymers

(Huang, He, Liang *et al.*, 2007). In our previous work, 2,6dimethyl-4-(2-thiophenyl)pyridine-3,5-dicarboxylate, 2,6-dimethyl-4-phenylpyridine-3,5-dicarboxylate and 2,6-dimethyl-4-(pyridin-4-yl)pyridine-3,5-dicarboxylate were employed in the construction of luminescent metal compounds (Huang, He, Wang *et al.*, 2007). Only a few reports exist of coordination polymers related to (*E*)-2,6-dimethyl-4-styrylpyridine-3,5-dicarboxylic acid (H₂mspda) (Zhang *et al.*, 2011; Huang *et al.*, 2010). In this work, we report a new photoluminescent complex, poly[(dimethylamine- κN)[μ_3 -(*E*)-2,6-dimethyl-4styrylpyridine-3,5-dicarboxylato]zinc(II)], (I), with a helical motif, assembled by distinct chiral units from an axially prochiral ligand through C-H···O hydrogen bonds.



The asymmetric unit of (I) contains one crystallographically independent Zn^{II} cation, one mspda²⁻ ligand and one coordinated dimethylamine ligand (Fig. 1). The N-H bond of dimethylamine is confirmed by a characteristic peak (3176 cm⁻¹) in the FT-IR spectrum of (I). It should be noted



Figure 1

Part of the structure of the mspda²⁻ ligand and Zn^{II} centres in (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. [Symmetry codes: (i) x + 1, y, z; (ii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.]



Figure 2

The absolute conformations of the chiral units comprising $[Zn(mspda)]_n$, shown as mirror images. [Symmetry codes: (i) x + 1, y, z; (ii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (iii) -x + 2, -y + 1, -z + 1.]

that hydrolysis of dimethylformamide is observed in the formation of (I). Similar cases have also been discovered in some anionic metal–organic frameworks with aromatic polycarboxylates (Rosi *et al.*, 2005; Chen *et al.*, 2003). The geometry around atom Zn1 is a distorted tetrahedron (ZnNO₃), with the four binding sites occupied by three O atoms (O1, O3 and O4) from three equivalent mspda^{2–} ligands and one N atom (N2) from the coordinated dimethylamine molecule (Table 1). All the Zn–O and Zn–N bond lengths are in agreement with those reported in other Zn^{II} complexes of *N*,*O*-chelating ligands (Wang *et al.*, 2007).

As shown in Fig. 1, the mspda²⁻ anion serves as an *exo*tridenate ligand and bridges three Zn^{II} centres through O1, O3 and O4, leaving the uncoordinated carboxylate O atom involved in an N2–H2···O2^{iv} hydrogen bond [symmetry code: (iv) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1]. The Zn···Zn separations over the mspda²⁻ bridge are 8.0331 (14) and 9.134 (2) Å. The C9– C10 bond of 1.320 (4) Å is assigned as a C=C double bond, with the mspda²⁻ ligand displaying a *trans* conformation (*E*). The C₆H₅–CH=CH dihedral angle in mspda²⁻ is 19.76 (14)°, and that between the pyridine and phenyl rings is 68.03 (14)°. Thus, the pyridine and phenyl rings in the mspda²⁻ ligand are not coplanar, with the major twist (C2–C8–C9–C10) occuring about the C8–C9 bond (Table 1).

It is interesting that S- and R-type chiral units co-exist in the structure of (I) (Fig. 2). In the mspda²⁻ ligand, according to the Cahn-Ingold-Prelog sequence rule (Cahn et al., 1966), atom C10 has priority over atom H9, and the two carboxylate (CO_2^{-}) groups may exist in different chemical environments within the metal complex. Thus, the $mspda^{2-}$ ligand is an axially prochiral ligand. In the structure of (I), one carboxylate group [denoted C17ⁱ; symmetry code: (i) x + 1, y, z] of the $mspda^{2-}$ anion is coordinated to two Zn^{2+} centres, while the other (C1) is coordinated to only one Zn^{2+} centre, and thus atom C17ⁱ has priority over atom C1. In crystal engineering, C-H···O contacts are electrostatic and they occur within certain distance $(C-H \cdot \cdot \cdot O = 3.0-4.0 \text{ Å})$ and angle $(C-H \cdot \cdot \cdot O = 3.0-4.0 \text{ Å})$ $H \cdot \cdot \cdot O = 90-180^{\circ}$) ranges (Desiraju, 1991; Taylor & Kennard, 1982). Therefore, we believe that there are reliable $C-H\cdots O$ interactions in (I) because of the $C9-H9\cdots O1^{ii}$ angle and the short C9···O1ⁱⁱ distance [Table 1; symmetry code: (ii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1]. The rotation of C₆H₅-CH=CH around the aryl-carbon bond (C8–C9) controls the C–H \cdots O hydrogen bonds to a certain degree. Thus, there are two types of chiral units (S and R) that co-exist in the same crystal lattice (Fig. 3).

Another interesting feature is the presence of P- and M-type helical chains comprising the S- and R-chiral units separately. It should be emphasized that the R-chiral units assemble a left-handed (M) Zn-mspda helical chain with a helical pitch of 9.134 Å, while the right-handed (P) Zn-mspda helical chain is constructed from the connection of neighbouring S-chiral units (Fig. 3). Thus, the P- and M-type helices assembled separately by distinct S- and R-chiral units are interlinked by O4 to form a one-dimensional ladder. To our knowledge, such an arrangement of helical chains has only rarely been reported among Zn frameworks (Yashima *et al.*, 2008; Bishop, 2008).

The configuration of the chiral units in (I) is similar to those of atropisomeric $[M(\text{Hsmpdc})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}$, (II) [smpdc is





View of the one-dimensional ladders comprising separate P- and M-type helices, which are assembled by distinct S- and R-atropisomeric units, with Zn^{2+} ions as nodes.





View of the two-dimensional supramolecular network of (I). Dashed lines represent the $N2 \cdots O2^i$ hydrogen bonds and polyhedra represent the ZnNO₃ groups. [Symmetry code: (i) x + 1, y, z.]

2.6-dimethyl-4-(thiophen-2-yl)pyridine-3.5-dicarboxylate, M =Zn; Huang, He, Liang et al., 2007), $[M(H-mpypdc)Cl(H_2O)_3]_n$, (III) [mpvpdc is 2,6-dimethyl-4-(pyridin-4-yl)pyridine-3,5dicarboxylate, M = Co; Huang & Hu, 2007] and {[Cd₃(HL)₂- $(\text{phen})_3(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2$ ·4.25H₂O $_n$, (IV) $[\text{H}_4L = p\text{-terphenyl-type}]$ 4,4'-(1,4-phenylene)bis(2,6-dimethylpyridine-3,5-dicarboxylic acid) and phen is 1,10-phenanthroline; Huang et al., 2009]. However, the structure of (I) is quite different from these structures. For example, (II) has an interesting hydrogenbonded $M^{2+}(H_2O)_8$ ionic cluster, which links the Hsmpdc⁻ ligands into a one-dimensional supramolecular motif. Compound (III) displays wave-like motifs generated from the Hmpypdc⁻ ligands. The *R*- and *S*-components composed of [M(Hmpypdc)] are arranged in an ... ABAB... fashion in the one-dimensional coordination polymer. In complex (IV), the T-type secondary building units construct independent one-dimensional metal-organic nanotubes (MONTs) with 6^3 topology, containing distinct axially asymmetric $[R-Cd_3(HL)]$ and S-Cd₃(HL)] subunits. In (I), the construction of atropisomeric type units from axially prochiral ligands through hydrogen bonds is the major feature of interest.

Interchain hydrogen bonds between the coordinated dimethylamine molecules and mspda²⁻ ligands $(N2 \cdots O2^{iv})$ extend the one-dimensional ladders of (I) into a two-dimensional supramolecular architecture (Fig. 4).

The photoluminescent emission maximum of free H_2mspda was observed at 496 nm (em). The emission spectra of (I) in the solid state at room temperature are shown in Fig. 5. Excitation at 365 nm leads to a broad fluorescent emission band at 432 nm. The distinct maximum emission wavelength indicates that the mechanism of photoluminescence can be assigned to ligand-metal charge transfer (LMCT) (Hu *et al.*, 2010; Zhou *et al.*, 2008).



Photoluminescent spectra of (I) (λ_{em} at 432 nm, upon λ_{ex} at 365 nm). *I* is relative intensity.

Experimental

A mixture of $Zn(NO_3)_2$ ·6H₂O (60 mg, 0.2 mmol), H₂mspda (59 mg, 0.2 mmol), imidazole (14 mg, 0.2 mmol), Et₃N (0.02 ml) and dimethylformamide (10 ml) was sealed in a 25 ml Teflon-lined stainless steel reactor and directly heated to 413 K for 2 d, then cooled to room temperature. The crystals were washed with methanol to yield 20 mg of (I) (yield ~25% based on the H₂mspda ligand).

Crystal data

$[Zn(C_{17}H_{13}NO_4)(C_2H_7N)]$	$\gamma = 66.518 \ (4)^{\circ}$
$M_r = 405.76$	V = 939.9 (4) Å ³
Triclinic, P1	Z = 2
a = 9.134 (2) Å	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
b = 9.694 (2) Å	$\mu = 1.33 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 11.735 (3) Å	$T = 298 { m K}$
$\alpha = 80.602 \ (4)^{\circ}$	$0.28 \times 0.25 \times 0.22 \text{ mm}$
$\beta = 87.611 \ (4)^{\circ}$	

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector	5025 measured reflections
diffractometer	3295 independent reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan	2691 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 2003)	$R_{\rm int} = 0.021$
$T_{\min} = 0.707, \ T_{\max} = 0.758$	
Refinement	

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.039$ H atoms treated by a mixture of
independent and constrained
S = 1.07S = 1.07refinement
225 reflections243 parameters $\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.32$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.31$ e Å⁻³1 restraint $\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.32$ e Å⁻³

The methyl H atoms were constrained to an ideal geometry, with C-H = 0.96 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$, but were allowed to rotate freely. Other H atoms attached to C atoms were refined using a riding model, with C-H = 0.93 Å (CH) and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$. The N-bound H atom was refined freely.

 Table 1

 Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Zn1-O1	1.927 (2)	Zn1-O4	2.004 (2)
Zn1-O3	1.961 (2)	Zn1-N2	2.008 (3)
O1-Zn1-O3	115.82 (10)	O1-Zn1-N2	121.58 (10)
O1-Zn1-O4	101.18 (8)	O3-Zn1-N2	105.88 (10)
O3-Zn1-O4	109.50 (9)	O4-Zn1-N2	101.31 (10)
C2 C8 C9 C10	49.1 (5)		
02-03-09-010	4 9.1 (3)		

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	$D-{\rm H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$\begin{array}{c} N2 - H2 \cdots O2^{iv} \\ C9 - H9 \cdots O1^{ii} \end{array}$	0.84 (2) 0.93	2.19 (2) 2.41	3.010 (3) 3.258 (4)	167 (3) 151
0 (")				

Symmetry codes: (ii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (iv) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1.

- Desiraju, G. R. (1991). Acc. Chem. Res. 24, 290-296.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Han, L. & Hong, M. C. (2005). Inorg. Chem. Commun. 8, 406-419.
- Hu, J. S., Shang, Y. J., Yao, X. Q., Qin, L., Li, Y. Z., Guo, Z. J., Zheng, H. G. & Xue, Z. L. (2010). Cryst. Growth Des. 10, 4135–4142.
- Huang, K. L., Gao, J. & Fu, S. P. (2010). Chin. J. Struct. Chem. 29, 752-756.
- Huang, K. L., He, Y. T., Liang, G. M., Sun, Y. Y. & Hu, C. W. (2007). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 360, 2271–2276.
- Huang, K. L., He, Y. T., Wang, D. Q., Pan, W. L. & Hu, C. W. (2007). J. Mol. Struct. 832, 146–149.
- Huang, K.-L. & Hu, C. W. (2007). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 360, 3590-3596.
- Huang, K.-L., Liu, X., Chen, X. & Wang, D.-Q. (2009). Cryst. Growth Des. 9, 1646–1650.
- Kesanli, B. & Lin, W. B. (2003). Coord. Chem. Rev. 246, 305-326.
- Ockwig, N. W., Delgado-Friedrichs, O., O'Keeffe, M. & Yaghi, O. M. (2005). Acc. Chem. Res. 38, 176–182.
- O'Keeffe, M., Peskov, M. A., Ramsden, S. J. & Yaghi, O. M. (2008). Acc. Chem. Res. 41, 1782–1789.
- Rosi, N. L., Kim, J., Eddaoudi, M., Chen, B., O'Keeffe, M. & Yaghi, O. M. (2005). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127, 1504–1518.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2003). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Taylor, R. & Kennard, O. (1982). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 104, 5063-5070.
- Wang, X. W., Chen, J.-Z. & Liu, J.-H. (2007). Cryst. Growth Des. 7, 1227–1229.
- Yaghi, O. M., O'Keeffe, M., Ockwig, N. W., Chae, H. K., Eddaoudi, M. & Kim, J. (2003). *Nature (London)*, **423**, 705–714.
- Yashima, E., Maeda, K. & Furusho, Y. (2008). Acc. Chem. Res. 41, 1166–1180.
- Zhang, M. X., Wang, X. F., Chen, X., Peng, D. Q., Liu, X., Fu, W. S. & Huang, K. L. (2011). *Chin. J. Struct. Chem.* **30**, 969–974.
- Zhou, X. X., Cai, Y. P., Zhu, S. Z., Zhan, Q. G., Liu, M. S., Zhou, Z. Y. & Chen, L. (2008). Cryst. Growth Des. 8, 2076–2079.

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2010); cell refinement: *APEX2*; data reduction: *SAINT-Plus* (Bruker, 2008); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 2008) and *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *PLATON* (Spek, 2009).

This work was supported by Science and Technology Projects of Chongqing Municipal Education Commission (grant No. KJ100602) and Chongqing Normal University Scientific Research Foundation Project (grant Nos. 10XLZ005 and 2011XLS30).

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: EM3046). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Bishop, R. (2008). Acc. Chem. Res. 42, 67-78.
- Brandenburg, K. (2008). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany. Bruker (2008). *SAINT*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2010). APEX2. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Cahn, R. S., Ingold, C. & Prelog, V. (1966). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 5, 385–415.

Chen, W., Wang, J. Y., Chen, C., Yue, Q., Yuan, H. M., Chen, J.-S. & Wang, S. N. (2003). *Inorg. Chem.* 42, 944–946.